

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,111	02/11/2002	Lorne Abrams	7001-20	7740	
759	90 03/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mark D. Passler			MARKOFF, A	MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A. P.O. Box 3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
West Palm Beac	ch, FL 33402-3188		1746		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SI				
•1 ••	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,111	ABRAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexander Markoff	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	V.10 05T TO 5VDID5 • M	NIT.((a) 50 a)				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature than the period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 I	<u>May 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 February 2002 is/a	re: a) accepted or b) o	bjected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			t			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		plication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been i	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview St	immany (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Carlesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/20/02. 	5) Notice of Int 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
. apar majorman para arzorazi						

Application/Control Number: 10/074,111

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is indefinite because it depends on itself. For examination purposes the claim has been interpreted as depending on claim 6. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rose et al (US Patent No 6,171,548).

Rose et al teach an apparatus as claimed. See entire document, especially, Figures 5, 6 and 7 and the related description.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/074,111

Art Unit: 1746

5. Claims 1-5, 8-12, 14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al (US Patent No 4,877,964).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,111

Art Unit: 1746

9. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al.

Tanaka et al teach an apparatus as claimed except for plurality of conveyors.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide more than one conveyor in Tanaka et al, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 18-24 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest a method as claimed. While sanitation and or sterilization of different articles, including containers, by UV light was conventional in the art, as evidenced by the art cited by the examiner and by the applicants. There is no suggestion or teaching was found not to clean the used articles intended for reuse. The prior art fails to teach or suggest a method comprising the claimed steps, especially a step for checking cleanliness of the article and irradiating articles without washing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

am

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER